

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,793	11/21/2003	Edward Paul Carlin	9434	2943	
27752	7590 04/18/2006	EXAMINER			
	TER & GAMBLE COM UAL PROPERTY DIVISI	HAND, ME	HAND, MELANIE JO		
	LL TECHNICAL CENTE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ER HILL AVENUE	3761	•		
CINCINNAT	TI, OH 45224		DATE MÁILED: 04/18/2006	DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			E				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/719,793	CARLIN, EDWARD PAUL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Melanie J. Hand	3761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a flod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO titute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status			٠				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	1 February 2006						
·— ·	his action is non-final.		·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		tters, prosecution as to the merits is	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		-8-					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	*						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		Annication No					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a	-	received.					
•							
Attachment(s)	<b></b>	0(DTO 443)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<u> </u>	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed February 1, 2006, with respect to the non-statutory double patenting rejection of claims 1,2 and 8-12 are most in view of the filing of a terminal disclaimer on February 1, 2006.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 3-9 under 35 U.S.C. 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of a newly found prior art reference.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on February 1, 2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of any patent granted on Application No. 10/749,258 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on February 2, 2006 was filed after the mailing date of the Application on November 21, 2003. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

Claims 1,2 and 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Schoelling (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0151859).

With respect to Claims 1,2,5: Schoelling discloses a tampon made of compressed fibrous

material with an insertion end, a recovery end, a longitudinal axis, and an outer surface with

longitudinally extending ribs. The longitudinally extending ribs approaching the insertion end

define a width x closest to tip of the tampon, and a width y (smaller than x) as the width of the

□ grooves decreases. By teaching a largest width at one end and a smallest width at a second

opposing end, Schoelling is teaching a width dimension of said raised portions that varies

continuously along the length of each said raised portion. (¶ 0009)

With respect to Claim 7: As can best be seen in any of Figs. 1-5, said longitudinal raised

portions are evenly spaced from one another.

With respect to Claims 8,9: The tampon taught by Schoelling can either have a uniform density

over a cross-section or ribs that extend radially outward from a centrally positioned core,

producing a cross-section that exhibits a varying density. (¶ 0009)

With respect to Claim 10: The tampon taught by Schoelling has a highly compressed core.

(90009)

Application/Control Number: 10/719,793

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to Claims 11,12: Schoelling teaches recovery tape 35. (¶ 0075)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schoelling ('859).

With respect to Claim 3: Schoelling does not teach that the largest width dimension is located in the withdrawal end. Examiner asserts that it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the tampon taught by Schoelling such that the largest width dimension of the raised portions is located in the withdrawal end. Such a modification would be achieved by disposing the withdrawal member on the longitudinally opposite end from where it currently resides, and such a rearranging of the structural elements does not render claim 3 patentable over the prior

Application/Control Number: 10/719,793

Art Unit: 3761

art of Schoelling. It has been held that rearranging elements of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. See *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950)

With respect to Claims 4,6: Schoelling does not teach that a central region of the tampon, and thus a central region of each raised portions, defines the smallest width along the length of any of said raised portions. Examiner asserts that since applicant has not assigned any criticality to this limitation, such a limitation is an optimization of the width trend along the length of said raised portions and thus it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the width trend such that the portion of each raised portion having the smallest width (when compared to other portions) is located in a central portion of the tampon as a whole. Such a modification would result in a width dimension of said raised portions that varies intermittently along the length of each said raised portion. It has been held that where general conditions of claim are disclosed in prior art, it is not inventive to discover optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. See *In re Aller, Lacey and Hall (105 USPQ 233, CCPA, 1955)*.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie J. Hand whose telephone number is 571-272-6464. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-5:30, alternate Fridays 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melanie J Hand Examiner Art Unit 3761

**MJH** 

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	Office Action Commons	dia a Summara	10/719,793	CARLIN, EDWARD PAUL				
. Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		,	Chivonne L. Evans	3761				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	•							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>21 No</u>	ovember 2003.					
•	······································		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition fo	r allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the app	plication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		,					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the I	Examine	r. ·					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th				FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	O-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreian	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119/a	)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	. ioroigii	p 3 1 10(a)	, (=, =, (,),				
<b>5</b> /(	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments	s have been received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			on No.	•			
					Stage			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		··••	×					
Attachmen	t(s)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-3/25/2004.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-3/25/2004.								

Art Unit: 3761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hirschman (3690321). With regards to claim 1 and 7, Hirschman discloses a catamenial device such as a tampon with longitudinally extending (length dimension) circumferentially (evenly) spaced grooves with a width varying cross-section as shown in figure 5 and disclosed in Column 2, lines 30-39. With respect to claims 3 and 4, Hirschman also reveals in Figure 5 a withdrawal end that has the largest width dimension and a center region with the smallest width dimension. With respect to claims 5 and 6, Hirschman discloses a width that varies continuously whereas there are no gaps or attachments along the length of the catamenial device, and intermittently along the length whereas the regions such as those shown in Figure 5- (11, 24, 12) are considered intervals along the length of the catamenial device. (Figure 5, and Column 2, lines 21-Column 3, line 7) With regards to claims 8 and 9, Hirschman reveals in Figures 1 and 3 a device where the density is varied over a cross-section and is uniform over a cross-section, respectively.

#### Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-2 and 8-12 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 and 7-11 of copending Application No. 10749258. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the copending application claims raised portions in the insertion end (claim 2) with a width x and width y whereas the width x is larger than the width y, corresponding with the claims 1 and 2 of this application. Also, with respect to claim 1, it is inherent that the raised portions have a "length" dimension as well as the tampon having a center region. Claims 8-12 are correspond with claims 7-11, respectively.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 3761

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wasson (2005/0027275), Randall (2003/0176844), Lochte (6758839) and Sheldon (4650459) are all relevant to this application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chivonne L. Evans whose telephone number is 571-272-8686. The examiner can normally be reached on between 6:30-3:30, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 3761

ce

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4